

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/656,982	SAKHUJA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Now allowed

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Applicants' Rep E. Eric Hoffman (Reg. No. 38,186).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 June 2005

**Time:** 12:50 PM EDT

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*new concerns identified by the examiner*

Claims discussed:

*22, 25, and 27*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted initially on Jun 15th to discuss proposed suggestions by the examiner, the applicants' representative provided approved changes on Jun 17th to address/correct the concerns identified by the examiner. Details are in the Examiner's Amendment.